Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP80B01676R004100060006-7

OGC Has Reviewed

7-5423

The Honorable	Ce ST
The Honorable The Attorney General Washington 25, D. C.	
Dear Mr. Attorney General:	les
The issue presented in this letter is the following: Under existing legislation does the authority and responsibility to provide a headquarters building for the Central Intelligence Agency rest with the Director of Central Intelligence or the Administrator of General Services.	OGC FOIAB5

We had hoped that, in this work, we would benefit from the advice and assistance of the General Services Administration while retaining ultimate authority and responsibility, and we stand ready today, as we have in the past, to work out an arrangement with the General Services Administration along these lines.

Recently, however, we have obtained and studied a copy of a letter from the Administrator of General Services the Bureau of the Budget, dated August 26, 1955, copy enclosed, which states that if a decision is made contrary to their position that, as a matter of law, they have the responsibility for design and construction of the building, they believe it would be better to remove the General Services Administration from any further participation.

410260

In a conference which we had on 1h October with the Administrator of General Services and Mr. Percival F. Brundage, Deputy Director of	OGC
he Bureau of the Budget, in an effort to find a practical solution to he problem, Mr. Mansure clearly reaffirmed the position stated in the bove-mentioned letter.	FOIAB
Sincerely,	

Allen W. Dulles Director

Enclosures (2)
DCI
OGC: LRH: jeb
cc: DCI (2)
DD/S
Director of Logistics
Legislative Counsel
General Counsel

C

August 2 , 19

Homorable Percy Represent Assistant Director Bureau of the Bulget Rashington 25, D. C.

The: Design and Construction of Control Intelligence Agency:

Mear Mr. Respenset!

As you know, a difference of opinion exists between this Administration and the Central Intelligence Approx no to which agency has responsibility for the design and construction of the CIA bandgemeters building authorized by Section 4GI, Title IV, Pablic Law 101, 84th Congress, approved July 15, 1955.

That has authorized the Director of Control Intelligence "to provide for" a headquarters installation for the Central Intelligence Agency at a land cost of not to exceed \$1,000,000, and a construction cost of not to exceed \$50,900,000. USA was authorized to describe temperary Government buildings in the District of Columbia audivalued to exace to that relinquished by CIA on occupancy of the new bandquarters.

Section 50% of the above not further authorized proceeding with the facilities without regard to certain revised statutes relating to the expeniture of public funds for the acquisition of land and sentracts for the construction of buildings.

Funds for the preparation of chans and specifications and for other purposes as authorized by Title IV of P. L. 191 were appropriated to CIA by the Supplemental Appropriation Act, 1950, F. L. 217, Shith Congress, approved August 4, 1955.

The CIA people contend that the authorization and appropriation to them contravence our authority and places in them Fall and complete authority over and responsibility for the design and construction of the building. They say they intend to use our services in more or less an advisory capacity.

It is our position that, as a matter of law, responsibility for design and construction of the building is in \$85 by virtue of our preclusive authority in the field of construction of general jurgoes of lice buildings derived from the Public Buildings Act of 1925, as unested.

Consideration has been given as to whether stamption in Section 501 of the above set, of the applicability of R.S. 37%, as asserted, supports the position of CIA. Then statute remis as follows:

Henoreble Percy Baggagort

The many shall be expended upon any public beliefing metil after shoutch plans showing the tentative design and arrangement of such outling, together with contine description and detailed estimates of the cost thereof shall have been made by the Administrator of General Services (except shan otherwise authorized by law) * * * * *

inclusion of the authorisation for the construction of the CIA building in P.L. 181, which is essentially on set to emberies contain construction at military, must and sir force installations, especie to have been merely a matter of convenience. That the shows exemption was not intended to have any applicability to the construction of the CIA building is clearly established by the Senate Committee on Armed Services, Seport No. 5%, on H. R. 6829, inter exected as Paulic law 181, sharein it is stated:

Section SCI provides the authority to acquire hand on which to provide family boundary for military personnel. It also contains the customary language availing the application of certain sections of the Revised Statutes that impose unreasonable restrictions on the prosecution of a military construction program under conditions presabling today."

Purthermore, testimony during hearings proceeding enactment of above acts, shows that GCA vorted with CIA in preparing the estimates upon which the legislation was beset, and that the Senate Education Services recognized that and Elitary Construction of the Consister on Armed Services recognized that the work should be perfected by a control construction agency properly equipped for the purpose and not by CIA. There was discussion as to whether the work would be done by the Corps of Engineers or GSA each it was at least implied that GGA would be expected to design and construct the building. See Consister Bearings on S. 1785 (E.E. 4829) at pages TAS, TAS.

The authorizing law does not include an examption from the Act of May 25, 1926, W. Stat. 530, 631, as examined, we U.S.C.A. 341, 342, income as the Public Buildings Set of 1927. Sections I seed 2 of this act road, respectively, in partment part as set out in Title 40, 9.8.C.A.:

To emable the Absinistrator of General Durvices to provide suitable accommodations in the District of Columbia for the executive departments, and independent catabilitiments of the Government * *, and for court-bosses, post offices, immigration stations, customicases, marine heavitals, quarantime stations, and other public buildings of the classes under the control of the Administrator of General Services in the States, * * * be to anthorized and directed to acquire, by purchase, confemention, or otherwise, such mixes and additions to sites as he may been recessary, and to cause to be constructed thereon, and upon lands belonging to the Government communicatly located and nominable for the purpose (but exclusive of military and caval recorrection), who gaste and suitable

- Jun

Barrier Die Percy Burnepert

buildings for may of the foregoing purposes, ****************
Provided further, That all shotches, place, and actimates for buildings shall be approved by the Absinistrator of General Services and the banks of the executive departments which are to be located in Such building.

"(a) The work of preparing designs and other drawings, estimates, specifications, and avaiding of contracts, as well as the separation of the work * * * shall be performed by the Administrator of General Services, except as otherwise provided in said sections."

The CIA been quarters building will be a general purpose office building which will have a grace floor even of approximately 2,300,000 equare feet; because, even () floors, reinforced occurreto frame; pile foundations; air conditioning; fluorescent lighting; suditorium, shops and imboratory areas, and paretaria.

Since July 1, 1990, the effective date of Recryonisation Planto. 18 of 1990 (8 Stat. 1270) 5 8.5.C. 1336-15, note) or have applied the exiteria set forth in Section 2 of the Flan as determinative of the classes of "other public buildings " " " under the sectro) of the Administrator of General Services." Fithout quanties, the CIA hesiquarters, as completion, would be an office building under our easted for the parameter of operation, mintenance, and costody as is true of the buildings presently accupied by CIA.

Section 1 of the Public Bellitings Act of 1980 which regains joint approval of the plane, etc., by the head of the agency to be located in a new building and spead on a matter of law and as a condition properties to the exercise of our responsibilities under the Act. Even if this was not required by the law, it seems community proper to us a matter of policy as it assume compliance with all resoccable meets of a special nature of the agency initially designated to occur the marticular building.

The authorization to CIA is merely "to provide" for a building, without specification of the member is vaid: it was to be provided. In view of the legislative bistory of the authorizing law and our express authority for each construction, the applicability of which was not exampled, we real there can be little doubt as to the soundness of our legal position.

In many studies occas in the past funds appropriated to other agencies for construction of general purpose office space and other structures have been transferred to us and we have purposed the design and construction under the Public Buildings Let, supra, and the applicable resolutions and procedures.

tion of cost estimates and understood that design and construction would be performed by us. Therefore, up full it unnecessary to insist upon a provision so requiring in the authorising or appropriation but.

Somewhile Percy Represent

Aside from the purely legal position stated above, we feel very strongly as a matter of general policy that, if the Covernment is to have a central agency for design and construction of respectively public buildings, such as our Public Buildings Service in SSA, performance of all functions that fall within its source of responsibility should be assigned to it.

Our record shows that we have destrook and constructed anny similar general purpose office buildings as well as other structures with special features in complete cooperation with and to the satisfaction of the occupying assences.

Economy and difficiency in the Foleral construction can beet be achieved with an organisation staffed with personnal engipped with the professional qualifications, technical "know-how" and long experience in sepatiation, swaring, administration and expervision of contracts with exchitects, engineers and constructors for the design and construction of public buildings.

Greating frequent special exceptions to agrecies for their own design and construction activities enquestionably vill destroy may effort on our part to achieve uniformity in construction cost and over-all accoming and efficiency in the construction of general purpose and related office space for the Pelaral Government.

We estimated the sest of this building is secondarce with the secondarca cost standards agreed upon between your office and nine for the lease purchase process.

To proceed with design and occasionation is the manner proposed by CIA would remove us from control and proclude so from assuring conformity to those standards.

This well might place the entire lease purchase program in jeopardy.

Accordingly, if a decision is made contrary to our position we believe that it would be better to remove us from any further participation in the design and construction of the building rather than aller an adverse affect on the lease purchase program.

An expression of your views will be appreciated.

Continlia resear.

fel studie P. HANSTIRE Administrator The Honorable
The Attorney General
Washington 25 D C

Dear Mr Attorney General:

The same presented in this letter is the following: Under existing legislation does the authority and responsibility to provide a headquarters building for the Central intelligence Agency rest with the Director of Central Intelligence or the Administrator of General Services.

OGC FOIAB5

The legal phases of this matter are dealt with in the attached opinion and memorandum of law.

We had hoped that, in this work, we would benefit from the advice and assistance of GSA while retaining ultimate authority and responsibility and we stand ready today, as we have in the past, to work out an arrangement with GSA along these lines.

Recently, however, we have obtained and studied a copy of a letter from the Administrator of GSA to the Bureau of the Budget, dated August 26, 1955, copy attached, which states in effect that unless their position that, as a matter of law, they have the responsibility for design and construction of the building, they believe it would be better to remove GSA from any further participation.

In a conference which we had on 14 October with the Administrator of General Services, and Mr. Percival F. Brundage, Deputy Director of the Bureau of the Budget, in an effort to find a practical solution to the problem, Mr. Mansure clearly reaffirmed the position stated in the above mentioned letter, and left no alternative but to present to you the problem of law stated above.

)	
OGC	
FOIAB5	

Sincer ly.

Allen W. Julies Director